



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.27633 of 2026
CNR No. ODHCO10657742026

**Maa Mangala Self Help
Group, Lathipada,
Nayagarh**

....

Petitioner

Mr. S. Jena, Advocate

—
-versus-

**State of Odisha and
Others**

....

Opp. Parties

Mr. A. Tripathy,
AGA

Mr. L. K. Moharana,
Advocate (for TPCODL)

CORAM:

JUSTICE BIRAJA PRASANNA SATAPATHY

ORDER

31.08.2026

Order No

01. 1. This matter is taken up through Hybrid Arrangement (Virtual/Physical) Mode.

2. Heard learned counsel for appearing for the Parties.

3. The present Writ Petition has been filed *inter alia* with the following prayer:-

“It is therefore, most humbly prayed that this Hon’ble Court be graciously pleased to:-

i) Admit the writ application.

ii) Call for the record.



iii) *Issue a writ in the nature of certiorari or any other appropriate writ to quash/set aside the impugned Letter Nos.18 & 19 dated 29.07.2026 issued by the Opposite Party No.3 under Annexure-3 & 4 respectively in so far as it relates to Nayagarh Division is concerned, and to declare the same are illegal, arbitrary, invalid without jurisdiction and contrary to the settled position of law.*

iv) *Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ/writs direction/directions directing the Opposite Parties to allow the Petitioner-SHG Federation through its member to continue as before in terms of the letters issued under Annexure-1 series without any interference from the Opp. Party No.3, keeping in view the performance of the petitioner as indicated under Annexure-5 and further the Petitioner-SHG Federation may be extended with all consequential and financial benefits as due and admissible within a reasonable time to be stipulated by this Hon'ble Court.*

v) *And/or pass such other order/orders, direction/directions as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit and proper for the ends of justice."*

4. In course of hearing, learned counsel for the Petitioner states that highlighting her grievance, Petitioner has made a representation to Opposite Party No.2 vide Annexure-5 and the same may be directed to be considered within a stipulated time, to which learned Counsel for the State has no objection.



5. As agreed by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, this Court, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, disposes of the Writ Petition directing Opposite Party No.2 to consider the representation filed by the Petitioner vide Annexure-5 within a period of two (2) weeks in accordance with law from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

6. Since it is contended that in similar matter in W.P.(C) No.25229 of 2026, this Court has passed an interim order not to take any coercive action, it is directed that till a decision is taken as directed no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner. Opposite Party No.2 is directed to take a decision by giving an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner as well as authorities of TPCODL.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(Biraja Prasanna Satapathy)
Judge

Sangita/Utkalika