



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.28287 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010663112026

Kirtan Pradhan

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. Jyotirmaya Sahoo, Adv.

versus-

State of Odisha & Ors.

....

Opposite Party(s)

Mr. Tej Kumar, ASC

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE SANJEEB K PANIGRAHI

Order No.

01.

ORDER

11.09.2026

1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. In filing the present Writ Petition, the Petitioner alleged to be an encroacher has challenged the notice of eviction issued by the Tahasildar, Tangi in Encroachment Case No.85/26-27 vide Annexure-2.
3. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
4. During course of hearing, learned counsel for the State, at the outset, submits that there is a clear statutory remedy of appeal available under the O.P.L.E Act against the impugned notice of eviction vide Annexure-2. Hence, the Petitioner should approach the Appellate Authority.
5. In such view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to entertain the prayer made in this Writ Petition. However,



considering the request of learned counsel for the Petitioner, this Court permits the Petitioner to file an appeal along with a petition for condonation of delay, if any, so also a petition for interim protection before the concerned Appellate Authority as per the provision under the O.P.L.E Act, within a period of fifteen working days hence. In such event, the Appellate Authority shall conclude the hearing after providing adequate opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned within a period of three months from the date of filing of the appeal.

6. It is further directed that till the interim application to be filed by the Petitioner is taken up, no coercive action shall be taken against the Petitioner pursuant to the impugned notice of eviction vide Annexure-2.
7. This Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. Sanjeeb K Panigrahi)
Judge