

Union Bank of India	...	Petitioner
Vs.		
State of Maharashtra and others	...	Respondents

Ms. Priyam Amin a/w. Mr. N. Amin (through VC) i/b. N. N. Amin & Co. for
Petitioner.

Adv. Willson Gaikwad, Court Commissioner.

Mr. Vivek Phadke (through VC) for Respondent Nos.6 and 7.

**CORAM : MANISH PITALE &
SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, JJ.**

DATE : AUGUST 28, 2026

P.C. :

. The learned Court Commissioner has submitted a written report stating that the directions contained in the order dated 12.08.2026 have been complied with. The report is taken on record.

2. This is further confirmed by Ms. Amin, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner bank (secured creditor). Hence, nothing more survives in this petition.

3. At this stage, Mr. Phadke, learned counsel for respondent Nos.6 and 7 submits that they have filed a securitisation application before the Debts Recovery Tribunal (DRT). He submits that this Court may observe that the securitisation application may be decided on its own merits by the DRT.

4. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

5. Needless to say, the securitisation application filed by respondent Nos.6 and 7 before the DRT shall be decided on its own merits expeditiously.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner bank submits on instructions that the charges of the learned Court Commissioner shall be paid within a week from today.

(SHREERAM V. SHIRSAT, J.)

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

Minal Parab