

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NOS. _____ OF 2026
(Arising out of SLP (C) NOS. 25591-25592/2026)

GOVIND RAM SAINI & ORS. ETC.

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

JAIPUR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. Admittedly, the writ petitions before the learned Single Judge are still pending for adjudication on merits regarding the alignment of the road, in which the decision of the Jaipur Development Authority is under challenge. During the pendency of the writ petitions before the learned Single Judge, directions were issued as an interim measure to remove the constructions of the appellants, which order was taken up in appeals before the Division Bench. The Division Bench dismissed the appeals, resulting in the filing of the present appeals.

3. In our considered view, once the writ petitions itself were pending before the learned Single Judge for consideration on merits regarding the alignment of the road in question, it was unjustified of the learned Single Judge to have directed removal of the constructions of the writ petitioners, i.e., the appellants herein. The Division Bench also erred in dismissing the appeals.

4. In view of the above, we request the learned Single Judge to decide the pending writ petitions on merits.

5. Till the disposal of the said writ petitions, the parties shall maintain *status quo* with regard to the constructions in question.

6. Any observations made in the common impugned order shall not influence the learned Single Judge in deciding the matter on its merits in accordance with law.

7. With the above observations, the appeals stand disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

.....J.
(VIKRAM NATH)

.....J.
(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

.....J.
(SANDEEP MEHTA)

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 01, 2026

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petitions for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos.25591-25592/2026
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-07-2026
in D.B.SAW No.743/2026 in S.B.C.W.P. No. 11370/2026 and D.B. SAW
No. 742/2026 in S.B.C.W.P. No. 11378/2026 passed by the High Court
of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]

GOVIND RAM SAINI & ORS., ETC.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JAIPUR DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(FOR ADMISSION, I.R. AND IA No. 215570/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING
O.T.)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 26045-26046/2026 (XV)

(FOR ADMISSION AND IA No. 221598/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 01-09-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

3

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Apurv Singhvi, Adv.

Ms. Shalini Haldar, Adv.

Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR

Mr. Jitesh Saluja, Adv.

Mr. Anubhav Chandel, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Gupta, AOR

Ms. Sheena Taqui, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Ashok Gaur, Sr. Adv.

Ms. Megha Karnwal, AOR

Ms. Sakshi Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ronak Karanpuria, AOR

Mr. Rohit Gautam, Adv.

Ms. Deepshikha Mathur, Adv.

Ms. Khushbu Sinha, Adv.

...2-

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. Appeals stand disposed of in terms of signed order.
3. Pending applications shall stand disposed of.

(RAJNI MUKHI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)