

SL. No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGES'S ORDERS
D1-01			UKHC010156292026  2026:UHC:9256 <u>WPMS No.2688 of 2026</u> Praveen Durga and OthersPetitioners v. Don Housing Company Pvt. Ltd. and AnotherRespondents <u>Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J.</u> Mr. Abhijay Negi, Advocate for the petitioners. Mr. K.S. Mehta, Additional C.S.C. for the State. By means of the instant petition, the petitioners seek the following reliefs:- i) Issue a direction to the Additional Tehsildar/Magistrate Court, Dehradun to decide the mutation proceedings namely "235/2019 Kamlesh Durga vs. Doon Housing Company Pvt. Ltd." pending since last seven years in light of the SIT Report dated 22/06/2024 (Annexure No.7; Page 61 to 61) within a fixed time frame, preferably within a time bound period; ii) Direct that the proceedings be conducted expeditiously and no unnecessary adjournments shall be granted to either of the parties; iii) Pass any other suitable order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there was a sale deed exhibited in the year 1969. In the year 2002, the petitioners' name was mutated in the revenue records, but, subsequently, it was set aside and that was enquired by the Special Investigation Team, but still, the mutation proceeding is pending for the

			last 7 years. Therefore, it may be decided expeditiously. The prayer records that the mutation proceedings may be decided in the light of an SIT report. The SIT report is Annexure No.7 to the writ petition. This Court does not want to comment on it. Fact remains, it has no reasoning to observe that the order dated 15.04.2011 was illegal and improper. Be it as it may, it is argued that the mutation proceeding is pending for the last 7 years. A mutation proceeding should definitely get disposal at the earliest. This Court cannot fix a timeframe, because it depends upon the pendency of the cases before a court, and priority of the old cases, etc. But keeping in view the pendency of the cases and priority, this Court only expects from the court concerned to decide the mutation case in accordance to its priority, as expeditiously as possible. With the above observation, the writ petition stands disposed of, accordingly. (Ravindra Maithani J.) 12.10.2026 RV
--	--	--	--