



CGHC010376432026



2026:CGHC:45500-DB

NAFR**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPC No. 5396 of 2026**

Vandemataram Projects Private Limited A Company Incorporated Under
The Companies Act And Having Its Registered Office At B-406-407,
Super Plaza, Sandesh Press Road, Vastrapur, Ahmedabad 380052,
Gujarat, Through Its Authorised Signatory

... Petitioner**versus**

1 - Raipur Development Authority Through Its Chief Executive Officer,
Bhakt Mata Complex, G.E. Road, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
Pin 492006

2 - State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department of Urban
Administration And Development, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal
Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

3 - The Chief Engineer Raipur Development Authority, Bhakt Mata
Complex, G.E. Road, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh 492006

4 - The Superintending Engineer Raipur Development Authority, Bhakt
Mata Complex, G.E. Road, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492006

5 - The Executive Engineer Raipur Development Authority, Bhakt Mata
Complex, G.E. Road, Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh 492006

6 - Chief Accounts Officer Raipur Development Authority, Bhakt Mata
Karma Commercial Complex, New Rajendra Nagar, Raipur, District
Raipur Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Siddharth Dubey, Advocate
For Respondent Nos. 1 & 3 to 6	:	Mr. Animesh Tiwari, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice
Hon'ble Shri Santosh Sharma, Judge

Judgment on Board

Per Krushna Ram Mohapatra, Chief Justice

28.10.2026

- 1 Heard Mr. Siddharth Dubey, learned counsel for the Petitioner as well as Mr. Animesh Tiwari, learned counsel, appearing for the Respondents.
- 2 This writ application has been filed seeking for following reliefs :

“(10.1) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, commanding the Respondent No.1 and Respondent No. 3 to reimburse and release to the Petitioner the sum of Rs. 8,57,23,677/-, comprising Rs. 7,48,86,815/- towards the Goods and Services Tax borne and discharged by the Petitioner in the execution of the work awarded under Work Order No. 444/C-2016/13/Vipra/17 dated 06.03.2017 and Rs. 1,08,36,462/- towards the outstanding value of work certified under Running Account Bills Nos. 1, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, or such sum as may be found due upon verification, read with Agreement No. 1253 dated 06.03.2017, in terms of clause 41[a] of the Conditions of Contract and of Circular No. 9388/8004/2017/18 dated 21.12.2017;

(10.2) Issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, commanding the Respondents to pay interest upon the aforesaid sum at such rate and for such period as this Hon'ble Court may consider just;

(10.3) In the alternative to the prayer made vide clause 10.1 and the sequitur prayer clause 10.2, issue a writ in the nature of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, commanding the Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 3 to decide

the representations of the Petitioner, and in particular the representation dated 31.08.2026, by a reasoned and speaking order within such time bound period as this Hon'ble Court may fix, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner;

(10.4) Issue a further direction that upon the claim of the Petitioner being found admissible in whole or in part, the amount so found admissible shall be released to the Petitioner within a period to be fixed by this Hon'ble Court;

(10.5) Call for the records of the case from the Respondent-Authority;

(10.6) Award the costs of the present petition to the Petitioner; and

(10.7) Grant such other and further relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice."

- 3 Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset, submits that leave should be granted to withdraw the writ petition to avail appropriate remedy.
- 4 Learned counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 to 6 does not have any objection to such prayer.
- 5 Hence, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with an observation that the Petitioner, if so advised, would be at liberty to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Sd/-
(Santosh Sharma)
Judge

Sd/-
(Krushna Ram Mohapatra)
Chief Justice