



2026:CGHC:25637

**NAFR**

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**  
**MCRC No. 5478 of 2026**

Gurmeet Singh Wadhwa S/o Late Gurbachan Singh Wadhwa Aged About 64  
 Years R/o Behind Utsav Bhawan, P.S. Vaishali Nagar, District- Durg (C.G.)

**... Applicant****versus**

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, P.S. Supela, District –  
 Durg (C.G.)

**---- Non-applicant**


---

For Applicant	:	Ms. Aditi Sighvi, Advocate.
For Non-applicant/State	:	Ms. Monika Thakur, Panel Lawyer.
For Objector	:	Mr. Manoj Paranjpe, Senior Advocate, assisted by Mr. Rohan Kumbhare, Advocate.

---

**Hon'ble Shri Ramesh Sinha, Chief Justice**

**Order on Board**

**23.06.2026**

1. The applicant has preferred this First Bail Application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail, as he has been arrested in connection with Crime No. 577/2026, registered at Police Station – Supela, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 308(4) of the BNS and Section 3 of Chhattisgarh Medical Practitioners and Medical Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage or Loss to Property) Act, 2010.
2. The case of the prosecution, is that one Akhil Madame who is the General manager of Sparsh Hospital Bhilai, District Durg field a complaint alleging that the applicant blackmailed, abused and extorted

the Hospital Management asking for a sum of Rs 20,00,000/- in lieu of enquiry conducted by him and various deficiencies which got exposed via applications under Right to Information Act, 2005. It has been alleged in the FIR that the applicant also threatened them that he will shut down the parking of the Hospital as a result of which the Hospital will also get shut down. Hence, this application.

3. It is argued by the learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case and the charge-sheet has been filed in this case. It is further submitted that the instant FIR has been registered on 25.04.2026 upon the complaint made on 25.04.2026 in respect of the incident occurred on 05.01.2026, the instant case has been registered only to create antecedents against the applicant, and there is no explanation with regard to the delay caused. The applicant is in jail since 04.05.2026 and trial is likely to take quite long time for its conclusion, therefore, he prays for grant of bail.
4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the Objector opposed the bail application and submits that the charge-sheet has been filed in this case, and the applicant is not entitled for grant of bail.
5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused all of the documents available on record.
6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of allegation levelled against the applicant and the fact that the charge-sheet has been filed against the applicant, further

the fact that the instant FIR has been registered on 25.04.2026 upon the complaint made on 25.04.2026 in respect of the incident occurred on 05.01.2026, the instant case has been registered only to create antecedents against the applicant, and there is no explanation with regard to the delay caused, the applicant is in jail since 04.05.2026 and conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I am inclined to allow this application.

7. Let applicant, **Gurmeet Singh Wadhwa**, involved in Crime No. 577/2026, registered at Police Station – Supela, District – Durg (C.G.) for the offence punishable under Sections 308(4) of the BNS and Section 3 of Chhattisgarh Medical Practitioners and Medical Service Institutions (Prevention of Violence and Damage or Loss to Property) Act, 2010, be released on bail on his furnishing **a personal bond with two sureties** in the like sum to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against him under Section 269 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 84 of BNSS. is issued and

the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then, the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 209 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 351 of BNSS. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

8. Office is directed to send a certified copy of this order to the trial Court concerned for necessary information and compliance forthwith.

**Sd/-**  
**(Ramesh Sinha)**  
**Chief Justice**

Rajshekhhar