

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**COPC No.694 of 2026****Date of Decision: 17.07.2026**

Hotel Sidhartha

....Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Agrawal and others

...Respondents

*Coram***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge**Whether approved for reporting?¹

For the petitioner : Mr. Vivek Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India, with Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. B.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for respondents No.3 & 4.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (Oral)

Learned Deputy Solicitor General of India has placed on record copy of Office Memorandum dated 16.07.2026, wherein it has been stated that the Committee noted that, as the procedure prescribed under the Guidelines for processing of applications for registration purpose has not been completed and as the mandatory

1 Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

eligibility requirements stipulated under Clause 7.3 of the Scheme Notification, as reproduced in Part-C of these minutes, is not being fulfilled, the unit, *prima facie*, is not found eligible. Moreover, in the absence of any budgetary allocation under the Scheme, the application cannot be granted registration.

2. Therefore, in this view of the matter, no further orders are required to be passed in the present contempt petition and, accordingly, the same is closed and disposed of. Notice discharged.

3. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to seek avail appropriate remedy, as available to it under the law.

The petition is disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

July 17, 2026
(V. Himalvi)

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge