

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 2936/2019

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-12-2018 in WP No. 6317/2017 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

THE NAGPUR IMPROVEMENT TRUST

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATI MATA SHKSHAN SANSTHA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 16870/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

WITH

SLP(C) No. 6794/2019 (IX)

IA No. 41416/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 41417/2019 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 28-07-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Satyajit A Desai, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

M/S. Black & White Solicitors, AOR
Mr. Suhaskumar Kadam, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Gagan Sanghi, Adv.
Ms. Farah Hashmi, Adv.
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

Mr. Samrat Krishnarao Shinde, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

Mr. Satyajit A Desai, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Anagha S. Desai, AOR

M/S. Black & White Solicitors, AOR
Mr. Suhaskumar Kadam, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Learned counsel for the respondent(s), at the outset, has stated before this Court that so far as the respondent No. 1 is concerned, his request for allotment of an alternative plot has been accepted and the Nagpur Improvement Trust has forwarded the matter to the State Government for necessary approval.
2. In respect of respondent No. 2, he has stated that earlier the width of the road was 09 meters and now, as per the modified development plan, it is only 06 meters, and in those circumstances, he has preferred an application to the Nagpur Improvement Trust for appropriate orders.
3. At this stage, learned counsel for the Nagpur Municipal Corporation has stated before this Court that the competent authority to deal with the representation of respondent No. 2 is Nagpur Municipal Corporation. Therefore, the respondent No. 2 is directed to submit a fresh representation to the Nagpur Municipal Corporation, enabling the corporation to take a final decision in accordance with law in respect of the representation. The

same be done within a period of four weeks from today.

4. List the matter after four weeks.

5. The petitioner shall also inform this Court of the outcome of the matter pending before the State Government in respect of the respondent No. 1.

6. Interim order to continue, till the next date of hearing.

7. It is made clear that the interim order directing the parties to maintain status quo will not come in the way of the State Government, Nagpur Improvement Trust and Nagpur Municipal Corporation while passing the orders in the matter in accordance with law.

(RAHUL KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(KOMAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)