

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).30172-30180/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1479/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1480/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1481/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1482/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1483/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1484/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1485/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1486/2020 15-05-2026 in WPC No. 1487/2020 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Nagpur]

THE PRINCIPAL HISLOP COLLEGE & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMIT MICHEAL GEDAM

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

(IA No. 255465/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 255463/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 15-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s): Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Febin Mathew Varghese, AOR
Mr. Dhiraj Philip, Adv.
Ms. Soyarchon Khangrah, Adv.
Mr. Riddhi Bose, Adv.
Ms. Racheeta Chawla, Adv.
Ms. Rishi Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Sampriti Bakshi, Adv.
Mr. Raibatt, Adv.

For Respondent(s): Mr. Anagha S. Desai, AOR
Mr. Shashank Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Pratik Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard Mr. Jaideep Gupta, learned Senior counsel for the petitioner(s).
2. Mr. Anagha S. Desai, learned counsel appears on

behalf of the respondent.

3. Mr. Gupta, learned Senior counsel has strenuously argued that the decision of this Court in *State of Punjab And Others versus Jagjit Singh And Others [(2017) 1 Supreme Court Cases 148]* relied upon by the High Court has been doubted by a subsequent Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in *State of Bihar And Others versus Bihar Secondary Teachers Struggle Committee, Munger And Others [(2019) 18 Supreme Court Cases 301]*, whereafter the matter has been referred to a larger Bench.

4. Considering the relief granted by the High Court modifying the award of the Industrial Court, we are of the view that interference by us may not be justified.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, consequently while keeping the question of law open, we dismiss the Special Leave Petition.

6. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner(s) to move the Industrial Court for payment of arrears, salary, etc. in installments. We express no opinion in this regard.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(ABHINAV KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)