

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Comp. App (AT) (Ins) No. 1410 of 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Vijay Kumar Iyer

...Appellant

Versus

GTL Infrastructure Ltd.

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Vaijayant Paliwal, Mr. Anoop Rawat, Mr. Saurav Panda,
Ms. Kirti Gupta & Ms. Diksha Sharma, Advocates

For Respondent : Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Ms. Aishwarya Gupta, Mr. Anchit
Jasuja & Mr. Adhiraj Andlay, Advocates For R - 2
Mr. Ritin Rai Sr. Adv. With Mr. Rohan Rajadhaksha, Mr.
Raghav Anand, Mr Shubham Kathuria, Advocates for R - 1

With

Comp. App (AT) (Ins) No. 1503 of 2019

IN THE MATTER OF:

Vijay Kumar Iyer

...Appellant

Versus

GTL Infrastructure Ltd.

...Respondent

Present:

For Appellant : Mr. Vaijayant Paliwal, Mr. Anoop Rawat, Mr. Saurav Panda,
Ms. Kirti Gupta & Ms. Diksha Sharma, Advocates

Mr. Raunak Dhillon, Ms. Aishwarya Gupta, Mr. Anchit
Jasuja & Mr. Adhiraj Andlay, Advocates
For Respondent : Mr. Ritin Rai Sr. Adv. With Mr. Rohan Rajadhaksha, Mr.
Raghav Anand, Mr Shubham Kathuria, Advocates

With

Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 26-27 of 2020

IN THE MATTER OF:

State Bank of India

...Appellant

Versus

GTL Infrastructure Ltd & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellant : Appearance not marked

For Respondents : Mr. Vaijayant Paliwal, Mr. Anoop Rawat, Ms. Kirti Gupta, Advocates
Mr. Ritin Rai Sr. Adv. With Mr. Rohan Rajadhaksha, Mr. Raghav Anand, Mr Shubham Kathuria, Advocates

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

21.07.2026 We have been informed that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has decided the Civil Appeal No. 1810 of 2021 vide judgment and order dated 13.02.2026, and therefore, there is no hurdle, so far as the disposal of the aforesaid appeals is concerned.

2. Learned Counsel for the Appellant in Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 733 of 2020, submits that the Appellant would file an I.A. in order to bring on record the judgment passed by the Supreme Court, along with certain other documents, we accordingly permit Learned Counsel for the Appellant to file an appropriate application in this regard within two weeks from today.

3. We have been informed that Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 685 - 686 of 2020, Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 734 of 2020, Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 758 of 2020, Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 762 of 2020, Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 822 of 2020 & Comp. App. (AT) (Ins) No. 415 - 416 of 2021, are against the plan approval order and having regard to the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, these appeals are to be decided in pursuance of the same.

4. It is also noticed that the two appeals i.e., Comp. App (AT) (Ins) No. 1410 of 2019 & Comp. App (AT) (Ins) No. 1503 of 2019, have been filed by the erstwhile RP of the CD. We made a pointed query to Learned Counsel for the RP who is an Appellant in the aforesaid two appeals as to whether the appeals were filed with proper authorisation and permission of the CoC and it is replied that the appeals were filed under authority of the CoC.

5. He further submits that so far as the fresh authorisation is concerned, he will have to seek instructions in this regard. He is accordingly directed to apprise us with regard to the current status of authorisation/permission for prosecuting these appeals.

6. We have been informed that joint convenience compilations have already been filed in some of the appeals. Accordingly, we direct the Learned Counsel for the Parties to file the joint convenience compilation in all the remaining appeals, if the same has already not been filed, till the next date of listing.

7. Accordingly, list these appeals on **19.08.2026**, at **2:00PM**.

[Justice Mohammad Faiz Alam Khan]
Member (Judicial)

[Naresh Salecha]
Member (Technical)

[Ajai Das Mehrotra]
Member (Technical)

KS/MR