SL No	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMB No. 931 of 2026 **Vikram Singh Chauhan ---- petitioner** **Vs** **State of Uttarakhand & anr ---- respondents** **Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J.** **Hon'ble Subhash Upadhyay, J.** 1. Mr. Gopal K. Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Puran Singh Rawat, learned Chief Standing Counsel with Mr. Amarendra Pratap Singh, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Sachin Mohan Singh Mehta, learned Standing Counsel for the State / respondent No. 1. 3. Mr. Suresh Chandra Bhatt, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 / Nagar Palika Parishad, Khatima. 4. Petitioner has challenged the tender notice dated 27.10.2026 issued by Nagar Palika Parishad, Khatima, whereby bids are invited for various civil construction works. Petitioner has challenged the said tender notice on the ground that his registration was cancelled and his application for registration / renewal is pending consideration before the Nagar Palika Parishad, and without taking decision on that application, tender notice could not have been issued. 5. Learned counsel for Nagar Palika Parishad, however, submits that registration of all contractors was cancelled pursuant to judgment dated 27.07.2026, passed by co-ordinate bench, in Writ Petition (M/B) No. 568 of 2026 and, the contractors were asked to apply afresh for

registration. He submits that petitioner has applied only for renewal, and not for fresh registration; for registration, a contractor is required to submit National Saving Certificate amounting to Rs.20,000/- and registration fee of Rs.10,000/-, while for renewal, a contractor has to deposit fee amounting to Rs.5,000/-. He further submits that even though petitioner has mentioned 'renewal/registration' in his application, but he has not deposited the amount which is required for fresh registration, therefore, decision could not be taken on his application, as after cancellation of earlier registration, petitioner can only apply for fresh registration and not for renewal.

6. We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned tender notice as Nagar Palika Parishad cannot be blamed for inaction on the part of petitioner. The writ petition is, however, disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to apply for fresh registration with requisite fee as well as security deposit in the form of NSC. If petitioner's application is found to be complete in each and every respect, then the Executive Officer of the Nagar Palika Parishad concerned shall take decision on his application within two weeks after its receipt.

(Subhash Upadhyay, J.) 06.11.2026

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, A.C.J. 06.11.2026

Negi

HIMANSHU NEGI

Digitally signed by HIMANSHU NEGI
DN: c=IN, o=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, ou=HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND, 2.5.4.20=bb3b6077401 2c1ef1dae20d13aaf116e73351fdaf6878326386908a7f90d5757, postalCode=263001, st=UTTARAKHAND, serialNumber=75BD9D0FB7F4A80990FC51A722A6BC552D470EB4FD2F88DDF7C18DB2A1524A4D, cn=HIMANSHU NEGI
Date: 2026.11.06 15:50:23 +05'30'