

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) .....D. No. 40709 OF 2025

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) Nos. 10724-10725 OF 2024

RAJIV KUMAR & ANR.

... PETITIONERS

Versus

LALA MATHURA PRASAD TRUST (REGD.) & ANR.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 415 days in filing these Review Petitions for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 06.05.2024, passed in SLP(C) Nos.10724-10725 of 2024, is made out.
3. The Review Petitions are, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.  
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.  
(M.M. SUNDRESH)

NEW DELHI;  
SEPTEMBER 23, 2026.

ITEM NO.1005

SECTION IV-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) .....Diary No(s).40709/2025 IN SLP(C) Nos.  
10724-10725/2024

RAJIV KUMAR &amp; ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

LALA MATHURA PRASAD TRUST (REGD.) &amp; ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 174961/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION  
IA No. 174963/2025 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 23-09-2026 These matters were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. The application for oral hearing is rejected.
2. The Review Petitions are dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits in terms of the signed order.
3. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)  
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)