

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 41086 OF 2025

IN
CIVIL APPEAL No. 3757 OF 2024

HARINARAYAN

... PETITIONER

Versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. Delay in curing the defects is condoned.
2. Despite the fact that there is a delay of 309 days in filing the Review Petition for which no satisfactory explanation has been furnished, we have gone through the same on merits. In our opinion, no case for review of the order dated 22.08.2024, passed in Civil Appeal No.3757 of 2024, is made out.
3. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

.....2/-

4. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(SANJAY KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 29, 2026.

ITEM NO.1009

SECTION III

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).41086/2025 In C.A.
No.3757/2024

HARINARAYAN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Office Report for Directions as per Ld. Reg. Ct's Order dated-
20.04.2026.

IA No. 177789/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION
IA No. 173470/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING/CURING THE
DEFECTS

Date : 29-07-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on
merits in terms of the signed order.

As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands
disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)