

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16089 of 2023**

=====

Jata Shankar Singh Son of Late Devki Nandan Singh, Ward No. -1 Ghatoha  
tol (Raspur Patasia), P.O. and P.S.-Sultan Pur, District-Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the Chairman Employees Provident Fund Organisation, Bhavisya Nidhi Bhavan 14 Sri KajiKama Palace, New Delhi.
2. The Commissioner Employees Provident Fund Organisation, Ministry of Labour and Employment Govt. of India 341, Bhavisya Nidhi Bhavan, Bandra (E), Mumbai-400051.
3. The Assistant Provident Fund Commissioner, Regional Office, 341, Bhavisya Nidhi Bhavan (E), Mumbai (Powai).
4. The Deputy Director Employees State Insurance Corporation Branch, Patna, Panchdeep Bhavan JI Nehru Marg, Patna, Bihar.
5. The Manager (Administration and Controller) CISS Ltd., Kolkata.
6. Suraj Kumar Sah Calcutta Branch of Employee Provident Fund Organisation.
7. M/S Central Investigation and Security Pvt. Ltd. 002/B Duplex Height Parshurampur, Tower No. -6 Yamuna Nagar, Near Millat Nagar Oshiwara, Andheri East.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, Advocate.  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Additional Solicitor General.  
For the EPFO : Mr. Kumar Vikram,  
For the Resp. No. 4 : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Bijpuria, Advocate.  
For the Resp. No. 7 : Ms. Shrishti Singh, Advocate.  
Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate.  
Mr. Saurabh Sundar, Advocate.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. ABHISHEK REDDY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      07-07-2026                      At Para-9 and 10 of the counter-affidavit filed by the  
  
Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 i.e. EPFO, it is specifically stated as  
  
under:-



*“9. That the case of the petitioner was enquired into by the PF organization and by letter dated 24.10.2024, under signed by the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (Legal), Regional Office, Mumbai (Powai) the office of Regional Provident Fund Commissioner-II (Legal) Regional Office, Patna has been informed that the grievance of the petitioner has been resolved at the Establishment level itself.*

*10. That from the perusal of the above mentioned letter it became quite clear that Establishment vide a mail dated 05.06.2023, informed EPFO that due to lack of KYC details like Aadhar and PAN, Establishment was unable to create UAN/EPF No. of the petitioner and was unable to remit the P.F. contribution of the employee. Further they have stated that the Establishment have P.F. Code at Regional Office, Kolkata Vide No. WB/CAL/54343 and the complaint was originally filed at Kolkata P.F. Office. The Establishment has generated the UAN of Shri Jatashankar Singh (Petitioner) whose UAN is 10867930519 and have remitted the P.F. contribution form 18.08.2012 to 31.01.2021. The copy attached for reference along with the list of month-wise remittance of contribution having TRRN for Sr. No. 1 to 102.”*

2. Having regard to the above, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the Respondent-EPFO to disburse the amount to the petitioner, if not already disbursed, in accordance with the provisions of the Act. The authority shall do the needful at the earliest preferably within a period of 8 weeks



from the date of the receipt of the copy of this order.

3. With the above directions, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**(A. Abhishek Reddy, J)**

shakir/-

U			
---	--	--	--

