

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). OF 2026
(Arising out of SLP(CrL.) No.9204/2026)

**THE CEOA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY
& ANR.**

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

M. THILAGA TERENCEY & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

ORDER

1. Leave granted.
2. Heard Mr. S Nagamuthu, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellants and Mr. P. Soma Sundaram, learned Advocate-on-Record appearing on caveat for the respondent No.1.
3. The order impugned dated 07.05.2026 is an order passed by the High Court in Writ Petition Criminal(MD) No. 2730/2026 wherein the challenge was to the summoning order dated 29.04.2026.
4. The High Court while entertaining the aforesaid petition has passed interim

directions, one in respect of the house situated in Survey No.182/1B and the other in respect of another building situated in the same survey number. The High Court in respect of the another building has injuncted the appellants from interfering with the peaceful possession of the appellants' property situated in Survey No. 182/1B.

5. The submission of Mr. S Nagamuthu, learned senior counsel for the appellants is that in a writ petition wherein summoning order is under challenge on the criminal side, the High Court had no jurisdiction whatsoever to grant injunction of the nature as passed under the impugned order.

6. We are surprised to note the manner in which the injunction has been granted that too in a writ jurisdiction on a criminal side where the challenge is only to the summoning order dated 29.04.2026.

7. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the order impugned insofar as it injuncts the appellants cannot be sustained in

law. Accordingly, we set aside the part of the impugned order insofar as it injuncts the appellants from interfering with the possession of the appellants' property in Survey No. 182/1B.

8. The appeal is allowed, accordingly, with liberty to the respondents to take recourse to the other remedies that may be available to them in law to protect their interest/possession over their property situated in Survey No. 182/1B.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[PANKAJ MITHAL]

.....J.
[S.V.N. BHATTI]

NEW DELHI;
MAY 21, 2026.
SD

ITEM NO.30

COURT NO.9

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No. 9204/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 07-05-2026 in WPCRL(MD) No. 2730/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras at Madurai]

THE CEOA EDUCATIONAL SOCITEY & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M. THILAGA TERENCEY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 152090/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 159378/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 152092/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 159376/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

IA No. 156222/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 21-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ MITHAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.V.N. BHATTI

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S Nagamuthu, Sr. Adv.
Mr. P Chandrashekharan, Adv.
Ms. Mary Mitzy, Adv.
Mr. B Ravikiran Singh, Adv.
Mr. Oleander D Singh, Adv.
Mr. Pratham Sadh, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Jha, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Mayilsamy. K, Adv.
Mr. G.ananda Selvam, Adv.
Mr. P. Srinivasan, AOR

Mr. P. Soma Sundaram, AOR
Mr. Habib Muzaffar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The present appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(SNEHA DAS)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(NIDHI MATHUR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)