

ITEM NO.25

COURT NO.3

SECTION IX

**S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A**  
**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos. 18084-18085/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 27-04-2026 in CAP No. 1070/2025 27-04-2026 in CAA No. 675/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

MAHARASHTRA MARITIME BOARD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GENERIC ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION  
 AND PROJECTS LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 156708/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 02-06-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA  
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR  
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR  
 [PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, Adv.  
 Mr. Ishwar Nankarni, Adv.  
 Mr. Kush Chaturvedi, AOR  
 Mr. Gaurav Adusumalli, Adv.  
 Mr. Jay Sanklecha, Adv.  
 Mr. Kartik Gupta, Adv.  
 Mr. Syed Faraz Alam, Adv.  
 Mr. Pranav Giri, Adv.  
 Mr. Pramothesh Mukherjee, Adv.  
 Mr. Ekansh Sisodia, Adv.  
 Ms. Ayesha Choudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Amrita Narayan, Adv.  
 Mr. Faranaaz Karbhari, Adv.  
 Mr. Rahul Jain, Adv.  
 Mr. Raghav Tiwari, Adv.  
 Mr. Madhav Sharma, Adv.  
 Mr. Dhananjai Shekhawat, Adv.  
 Ms. Shilpa Singh, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Mr. Shubhranshu Padhi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, referred to clauses 24 and 25 of the agreement to contend that there is no arbitration agreement between the parties.

2. Having considered the matter in detail, we are of the opinion that the issue relating to the existence of an arbitration agreement can be considered by the Arbitral Tribunal under Section 16 of the Act. In this view of the matter, we permit the petitioner to raise all questions of law and facts including those relating to the existence of an arbitration agreement. The Arbitral Tribunal shall consider the questions on its own merits without being influenced by any observations made by the High Court disposing of the Section 11 application.

3. With these observations, the Special Leave Petitions stand disposed of.

4. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)  
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR