

GAHC010085582026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MC/26/2026

M/S MEGHALAYA TRADING COMPANY AND ANR.
A REGD. PROPRIETORSHIP FIRM HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 10TH
MILE, P.O. BYRNIHAT, DIST. RI BHOI, MEGHALAYA, REP. BY ITS
CONSTITUENT AND LAWFUL ATTORNEY SRI GOURAV AGARWAL.

2: SRI GOURAV AGARWAL

S/O. LT. O.P. AGARWAL
A CONSTITUENT AND LAWFUL ATTORNEY OF M/S. MEGHALAYA
TRADING COMPANY
R/O. FLAT NO. 203
MAINAKUNJ APARTMENT
SIKRIA COMPOUND
CHRISTIAN BASTI
GUWAHATI
P.S. DISPUR
KAMRUP (M) DISTRICT
ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 2 ORS.
REP. BY THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER, HEAD OFFICE, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI, KAMRUP (M) DIST., ASSAM

2:THE CHIEF MANAGER

STATE BANK OF INDIA
S.M.E. BRANCH
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP (M) DIST.
ASSAM

3:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE

DISPUR POLICE STATION
DISPUR
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP (M) DISTRICT
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : DR. ASHOK SARAF, MR. A. KAUSHIK,B SARMA,MR P K BORA,MR S J SAIKIA,MR. N N DUTTA

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM,

Linked Case : WP(C)/2332/2018

M/S MEGHALAYA TRADING COMPANY AND ANR.
A REGD. PROPRIETORSHIP FIRM HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 10TH MILE
P.O. BYRNIHAT
DIST. RI BHOI
MEGHALAYA
REP. BY ITS CONSTITUENT AND LAWFUL ATTORNEY SRI GOURAV
AGARWAL.

2: SRI GOURAV AGARWAL

S/O. LT. O.P. AGARWAL
A CONSTITUENT AND LAWFUL ATTORNEY OF M/S. MEGHALAYA TRADING
COMPANY
R/O. FLAT NO. 203
MAINAKUNJ APARTMENT
SIKRIA COMPOUND
CHRISTIAN BASTI
GUWAHATI
P.S. DISPUR
KAMRUP (M) DISTRICT
ASSAM
VERSUS

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 2 ORS.
REP. BY THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
HEAD OFFICE
DISPUR
GUWAHATI

KAMRUP (M) DIST.
ASSAM

2:THE CHIEF MANAGER

STATE BANK OF INDIA
S.M.E. BRANCH
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP (M) DIST.
ASSAM

3:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE

DISPUR POLICE STATION
DISPUR
GUWAHATI
KAMRUP (M) DISTRICT
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. H DAS
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 2 ORS.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 27.05.2026

Heard Mr. A. Kaushik, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. H. K. Hazarika, learned counsel for the respondent No.3.

The connected writ petition i.e., WP(C)/2332/2018 was dismissed for non-prosecution. The instant Miscellaneous Case has been filed for restoration of the case.

After perusing the reasons as explained in the instant Miscellaneous Case, this Court is convinced that the matter should be restored.

Accordingly, the Miscellaneous Case is disposed of by restoring the

connected writ petition.

Let the matter be listed after 2(two) weeks.

Registry to reflect the name of the learned Standing Counsel, SBI in the cause list.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant