



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

CMP No. 1542 of 2016

Manas Ranjan Pani

...

Petitioner

Ms. Arpita Parida, Advocate
on behalf of Mr. Ashok Kumar Panigrahi, Advocate

-versus-

***IFMR HOLDINGS PRIVATE
LIMITED IITM Research Park,
Chennai and 11 Others***

...

Opp. Parties

CORAM:

HON'BLE MISS JUSTICE SAVITRI RATHO

ORDER

04.08.2026

Order No.

04.

(Through hybrid Mode)

1. The CMP has been filed challenging the order dated 07.09.2016 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Berhampur in C.S. No. 215 of 2016 (Annexure-2) returning the plaint to the plaintiff for presentation before the proper Court in Chennai and directing the office to make necessary endorsement on the plaint as required by Order 7, Rule 10 (2) of the CPC.
2. Although notice had been issued to the Opposite Parties by this Court, but interim order had not been passed. In the meanwhile, almost 10 years have elapsed.
3. Office note indicates that fresh requisites for issuance of notice as directed by order dated 10.11.2016 has not been filed. Today when the matter is taken up, Ms. Arpita Parida, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Ashok Kumar Panigrahi,



learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that in spite of his best efforts, the counsel has been unable to obtain instructions about the status of the case for which he has filed a memo stating that they do not have any instructions in the case. The memo signed by Mr. Ashok Kumar Panigrahi, learned counsel for the Petitioner is taken on record.

4. In view of the memo filed and as no interim order had been passed by this Court and almost 10 years have elapsed since the impugned order was passed, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the CMP pending.

5. The CMP is accordingly dismissed.

(Savitri Ratho)
Judge

puspa