



SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<p>SABA No.14 of 2026  <b><u>Hon'ble Alok Mahra, J.</u></b></p> <p>Mr. Bilal Ahmed, Advocate for the applicant.</p> <p>Mr. S.C. Dumka, A.G.A. and Mr. Nikhil Bisht, Brief Holder for the State of Uttarakhand.</p> <p>2. This is the second application seeking anticipatory bail moved on behalf of the applicants. The earlier anticipatory bail application preferred by the applicants was dismissed as withdrawn by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 14.05.2026, with liberty to file a fresh application.</p> <p>3. Applicants are praying for anticipatory bail in connection with Case Crime No.22 of 2019, under Section 420, 467, 468, 471 I.P.C., registered at Police Station Kotwali Dehradun, District Dehradun.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that they have cooperated with the investigation and that custodial interrogation is not warranted. Prayer has accordingly been made to enlarge the applicants on anticipatory bail.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned State Counsel opposes the application and submits that serious allegations of cheating, forgery and use of forged documents have been levelled against the applicants. It is submitted that the applicants obtained a vehicle loan from Andhra Bank on the basis of documents furnished by them and, during investigation, it has surfaced that the Registration</p>



Certificate submitted before the Bank was forged and fabricated. It is further submitted that, despite availing the loan, no vehicle was actually purchased, thereby causing wrongful loss to the financial institution.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court finds that the allegations against the applicants pertain to offences involving cheating, forgery and use of forged documents for obtaining financial benefits from a banking institution. The accusation is supported by specific allegations that forged documents were submitted for securing the loan and that the loan amount was not utilized for the purpose for which it was sanctioned. At this stage, the material collected during investigation prima facie discloses the commission of cognizable offences under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 I.P.C. This Court further finds that no substantial change in circumstances has been demonstrated since the withdrawal of the earlier anticipatory bail application so as to warrant reconsideration of the matter.

7. Considering the nature and gravity of the allegations, the manner in which the alleged offence is stated to have been committed, and the requirement of a fair and effective investigation, this Court is not inclined to exercise its discretionary jurisdiction under Section 482 B.N.S.S. in favour of the applicants.

8. Accordingly, the second anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

**(Alok Mahra, J.)**

17.06.2026

*Arpan*