



WEB COPY



Sub.Appl.No.747 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 15.07.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,  
CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE G.ARUL MURUGAN

Sub.A.No.747 of 2025  
in Cont.P.No.1801 of 2024

J.Krishnakumar,  
S/o.Jaganathan,  
124, Nehru Colony, Mahalingapuram,  
Pollachi - 642 002.

Applicant(s)

Vs

M.Ganesan,  
The Commissioner,  
Pollachi Municipality,  
Palakkad Road, Pollachi - 642 001.

Respondent(s)

Prayer: Application filed under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure seeking to reopen and revive the contempt petition in Cont.P.No. 1801 of 2024 closed on 28.08.2024.

For Applicant(s): Ms.S.Sridharshini  
for Mrs. V.Srimathi

For Respondent(s): Mr. T.Gowthaman  
Additional Advocate General  
Assisted by  
Mr. B.Anand  
Standing Counsel



WEB COPY



Sub.Appl.No.747 of 2025

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by  
the Hon'ble Chief Justice)

This application has been filed seeking to re-open the contempt petition.

2. Learned Additional Advocate General submitted that in compliance of the impugned order, action was initiated against the encroachers by issuing appropriate notice, which was the subject matter of challenge before this Court in W.P.No.19896 of 2024 and batch of writ petitions.

3. The above writ petitions were entertained and an interim order was passed directing respondents to give a report to find out the possibility of any regularisation scheme, pursuant to which, the Government has constituted a Committee to conduct detailed study of all buildings in Mahalingapuram area and New Scheme Road in Pollachi Municipal Limits and to analyse the current status by granting six months time to the Committee to submit the report and



Sub.Appln.No.747 of 2025

recommendations. The interim order also directed to maintain status quo and also not to take any coercive steps against unauthorised constructions and further directed the individuals not to put up any additional construction during the pendency of the writ petitions.

4. The time of six months granted to the Committee has been further extended by six months vide letter dated 13.03.2026, which is yet to be over.

5. In view of the aforesaid, we do not see any cause to re-open the contempt petition. Accordingly, the sub application stands rejected for the present.

(SUSHRUT ARVIND DHARMADHIKARI,CJ) (G.ARUL MURUGAN,J)  
15.07.2026

kpl