

ITEM NO.26

COURT NO.14

SECTION II-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 17697/2024

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 04-10-2024 in CRLWP(ST) No. 10818/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

SANDIP VASANT THAKRE & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 291424/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 291425/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 04-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Astha Tyagi, AOR
Ms. Nishtha Tyagi, Adv.
Mr. Dinesh Chander Trehan, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR
Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.
Ms. Sushmita Pandey, Adv.
Ms. Arunima Das, Adv.
Mr. Ashwin Arun Hirulkar, Adv.
Ms. Kunika Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Nachiketa Joshi, Sr. Adv. (NP)
Mr. Bhushan Mahendra Oza, AOR
Mr. Amber Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Kuldeep Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sahil S., Adv.*

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Having heard Ms. Astha Tyagi, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, learned counsel for

the State and Mr. Sahil S., learned counsel for the *defacto* complainant-respondent no.2, we are of the opinion that the stand of City and Industrial Development Corporation of Maharashtra Limited (for short, 'CIDCO') is essential for a complete adjudication of this matter.

2. We implead CIDCO as a party respondent in this matter.

3. Let amended memo of parties be filed by the petitioner.

4. Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the CIDCO.

5. Let CIDCO file an affidavit within two weeks explaining its stand in the matter.

6. The contention of learned counsel for the petition is that due to the refusal of one of the legal representative(s) to grant No Objection Certificate (for short, 'NOC'), they are not able to execute the sale deed. Learned counsel also states that the said legal representative(s) has no right as their predecessor(s) executed a release deed, releasing their rights for adequate consideration.

7. Let CIDCO consider all these aspects and file an affidavit as to why it is still insisting on NOC from the said legal representative(s).

8. List on 22nd September, 2026.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS
*appearance not given

(MANOJ KUMAR)
COURT MASTER (NSH)