



IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND
AT NAINITAL

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE SRI MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA

AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHASH UPADHYAY

15th July, 2026

WRIT PETITION (M/B) NO. 362 OF 2026

M/s JRD Infratech Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttarakhand and others.

...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner	:	Sri Yuvraj Singh and Sri Akash Chawla, learned counsel.
Counsel for the respondents	:	Sri J.C. Pande, learned Standing Counsel for the State of Uttarakhand.

JUDGMENT : (PER: SRI MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA, C.J.)

1. On 18.05.2026, when the matter was taken-up, we noticed that complete copy of the impugned order was not annexed and, therefore, time was granted to the petitioner to file the same, and the matter was directed to be listed in the next week. Thereafter, the petitioner filed a Supplementary Affidavit and, once again complete order was not brought on record. Consequently, again the matter got adjourned on 05.06.2026.

2. Again, a Supplementary Affidavit has been filed, but this time, the pages have not been arranged in proper sequence and have instead been placed in a jumbled manner. Therefore, we are finding it difficult to examine the dispute and much of the Court's time is being wasted.



2026:UHC:5844-DB

3. The writ petition is dismissed, with liberty to the petitioner to file a proper petition, if so advised.

4. Pending application, if any, also stands dismissed accordingly.

MANOJ KUMAR GUPTA, C.J.

SUBHASH UPADHYAY, J.

Dt: 15th July, 2026
Rathour