

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) NOS. _____ OF 2026
(@ DIARY NO.19990/2026)

IN

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NOS. 2356-2362/2025
(@ DIARY NO.65721/2025)

IN

M.A.D. NOS. 13363/2025, 15294/2025, 15682/2025, 17246/2025
22412/2025, AND 22424/2025

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 5302 OF 2020

M/S. LOPO ENTERPRISE ANINI & ORS.	PETITIONER(S)
P1 M/S. LOPO ENTERPRISE ANINI	
P2 M/S. M.P. ENTERPRISE	
P3 M/S. R. TINGKATRA	
P4 M/S. C.C.N. TRADERS	
P5 M/S. MEGA GENERAL STORE	
P6 M/S. MAA KALI TRADERS	

VERSUS

MANISH KUMAR GUPTA & ORS.	RESPONDENT(S)/ ALLEGED CONTEMNORS
R1 MANISH KUMAR GUPTA, CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH	
R2 OPAK GAO, THE SECRETARY, FOOD & CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH	
R3 WAYONG KHHUM, THE DIRECTOR, FOOD & CIVIL SUPPLIES DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF ARUNACHAL PRADESH,	

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. Delay condoned.

3. At the outset, Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, learned Additional Solicitor General submits that he has filed an affidavit bringing on record the fact that in terms of the directions of this Court to consider the representation of the petitioners, if so filed, within six weeks, the said representations have been disposed of by speaking order(s) dated 28.08.2026 passed by the Department of Food and Civil Supplies, Government of Arunachal Pradesh. However, he fairly submits that the time limit has not been adhered to and for such non-adherence, he has tendered unconditional and unqualified apology.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the consideration is totally erroneous and as copy of the affidavit has been served to him only on 05.09.2026 (Saturday), he may be permitted some time to file a rejoinder thereto.

5. Having considered the matter, we find that the direction of this Court being very specific to consider the representation(s), if so filed by the petitioners and

dispose of the same within six weeks, has been complied with and order(s) have been passed, though not within the time frame fixed, but as apology has been tendered, we are inclined to accept the same.

6. In such background, more so, when contempt is between the Contemnor and the Court and any third party, including the petitioners, only have the liberty to bring it to the notice of the Court that there has been non-compliance, we find that a case has been made out for not proceeding with the Contempt in the present matter.

7. Accordingly, the Contempt Petitions stand disposed of.

8. However, before parting, we record that it shall be open to the petitioners to challenge the order(s) dated 28.08.2026 that have been passed on their representation before an appropriate forum in accordance with law.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH)

.....J.
(MANMOHAN)

NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 07, 2026

ITEM NO.16

COURT NO.9

SECTION XV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CONTEMPT PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO.19990/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-11-2025
in D. No. 65721/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

M/S. LOPO ENTERPRISE ANINI & ORS.

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

MANISH KUMAR GUPTA & ORS.

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 216887/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING/CURING THE
DEFECTS

Date : 07-09-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Akhilesh Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kshirsagar, AoR
Mr. Shikhar Rai, Adv.
Ms. Rachna, Adv.
Mr. Anil Jha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Vikramjit Banerjee, A.S.G.
Mr. Hritik Pathak, Adv.
Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Maneesha, Adv.
Mr. Ajay, Adv.
Mr. Govind Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Akansha, AoR

O R D E R

Delay condoned.

2. The Contempt Petitions are disposed of in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.

(POOJA SHARMA)
AR-CUM-PS

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR