

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION**

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). _____ OF 2026.
(@ DIARY NO(S). 42069/2026)

IN
TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO(S). 739/2025

P. GNANAPRAKASAM

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

TATA PROJECTS LIMITED

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. There is a reported delay of 405 days in filing the Review Petition(s).
2. Even otherwise having carefully gone through the Review Petition(s)s, the order(s) under challenge and the papers annexed therewith, I am satisfied that there is no error apparent on the face of the record, warranting reconsideration of the order(s) impugned.
3. The Review Petition(s) is dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merit.
4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
[K.V. VISWANATHAN]

**NEW DELHI
SEPTEMBER 24, 2026.**

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) DIARY NO(S). 42069/2026 IN T.P.(C)
NO.739/2025

P. GNANAPRAKASAM

PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

TATA PROJECTS LIMITED

RESPONDENT(S)

IA No. 201092/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION

Date : 24-09-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Review Petition(s) is/are dismissed both on the ground of delay as well as on merit in terms of the signed order, which is placed on the file.
2. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NIRMALA NEGI)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIKITA SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)