

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 15202/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 06-02-2026 in CMRAD No. 202/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

MD TARIQUE & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 146979/2026 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION

WITH

SLP(C) No. 5092/2026 (XI)

CONMT.PET.(C) No. 336/2026 in SLP(C) No. 15202/2026 (XI)

FOR

FOR PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES ON IA 221329/2026

IA No. 221329/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 31-08-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UJJAL BHUYAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.
Mr. C.p. Rajwar, Adv.
Mr. Yasharth Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Aindri Saha, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Krishan Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shadan Farasat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yash Johri, Adv.
Mr. Arkaprava Dass, Adv.
Ms. Varisha Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Priyansha Sharma, AOR
Ms. Dakshita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Akshat Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Pal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Shadan Farasat, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yash Johri, Adv.
Mr. Arkaprava Dass, Adv.
Ms. Varisha Sharma, Adv.
Mrs. Priyansha Sharma, AOR
Ms. Dakshita Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Akshat Rathore, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Pal, Adv.

Mr. Ravi Prakash, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chandra Prakash, AOR
Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.
Mr. C.p. Rajwar, Adv.
Mr. Yasharth Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Aindri Saha, Adv.
Mr. Rohan Chandra, Adv.
Mr. Krishan Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Contempt Petition (Civil) No.336/2026

1. Issue notice to respondent Nos.2 to 7.
2. Mr. Ravi Prakash, learned counsel submits that he appears for respondent Nos.2 to 7. When it was brought to his notice that contempt is a quasi criminal matter, he submits that he has the requisite instruction to appear on behalf of the aforesaid respondents.
3. Service is complete.
4. This Court by order dated 08.05.2026 in Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.15202 of 2026 (*Central Bank of India vs. Md Tarique*) had issued notice and passed the following interim order:

3. In the meantime, subject to outcome of these special leave petitions, the Bank shall reinstate the respondent No.1. By virtue of this order, the respondent shall not be entitled to have any equity while deciding the case on merits.

5. Mr. Shadan Farasat, learned senior counsel has drawn the attention of the Court to page 28 of the contempt petition and submits that on 06.06.2026, the contempt petitioner (Mohd. Tarique) was reinstated in service. However, on the very same day, a suspension order was passed by Mr. Vibhor Agarwal, respondent No.5, placing the petitioner under suspension.

6. *Prima facie* the suspension order dated 06.06.2026 is on the teeth of the interim order passed by this Court and could not have been passed by the respondent No.5; infact, the act appears to be contumacious.

7. We, therefore, direct the to respondents to file their counter affidavit. However, we stay the suspension order dated 06.06.2026. consequently, the contempt petitioner (Mohd. Tarique) shall continue in service upon re-instatement.

8. List this contempt petition with the Special Leave Petitions on 30.09.2026.

(IRAMNAZ)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(CHETNA BALOONI)
COURT MASTER (NSH)