

ITEM NO.66

COURT NO.7

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION Diary No.16604/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 14-10-2025
in SLP (Crl) No.16574/2025 passed by the Supreme Court of India]

M/S PINKCITY INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD.

Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ORS.

Respondents

I.A. No.110566/2026-CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

I.A. No.86077/2026-MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER

Date : 29-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Rajiv Shakder, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Daksh Kadian, Adv.

Mr. Harsh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Kiran Khetani, Adv.

Mr. Manohar Pratap, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. I.A. No.86077/2026 is an application seeking modification of the order dated 14th October, 2025. By the said order, SLP (Crl) No.16574/2025 [@ SLP (Crl.) Diary No.53716/2025] was disposed of by a coordinate Bench of this Court. Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the said order read as follows:

"2. The order under challenge dated 29th November, 2022 is an interim order whereby further investigation has been stayed. It is claimed by the petitioner that attempts made by him to have the order vacated has proved abortive. It is also his claim that with passage of time, there is a real possibility of

disappearance of evidence.

3. Having heard Mr. Rajiv Shakder, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, we dispose of the special leave petition without even notice to the other side with a request of the roster Bench of the High Court to hear and decide Criminal Misc. Writ Petition No. 18268 of 2022 at a very early date and, subject to its convenience, preferably by the end of this year.”

3. Mr. Rajiv Shakder, learned senior counsel appearing for the applicant, submits that the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad has not yet heard and decided the writ petition despite the request made by this Court; not to speak of a decision by the year end, more than a third of the current year has been covered without any decision in sight.

4. We are also informed that the summer vacation is in progress and the High Court is scheduled to resume on 01st July, 2026 which would mean that half of the year would be over.

5. It is not unusual for the High Court to miss timelines for a variety of reasons, which need not be discussed here. Suffice to record, there being an order of this Court, some urgency was expected. Be that as it may, liberty is once again granted to the applicant to bring the order dated 14th October, 2025 to the notice of the roster Bench of the High Court. We hope and trust that the High Court shall look into such order, give the same due deference and make sincere endeavour, subject to its convenience, to decide the writ petition finally as early as possible but positively by the end of August, 2026.

6. The application for modification, accordingly, stands disposed of.

7. Miscellaneous application also stands disposed of.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)