

ITEM NO.27

COURT NO.2

SECTION XII

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22104/2023

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-11-2022 in OSA No. 126/2022 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Madras]

KUMARA RANI MEENA MUTHIAH (DEAD) THROUGH LR

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJAH MUTHIAH CHETTIAR CHARITABLE
AND EDUCATIONAL TRUST & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 125418/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING ANNEXTURES
IA No. 158012/2023 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.
IA No. 125417/2024 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP(C) No. 22106/2023 (XII)

SLP(C) No. 22105/2023 (XII)
FOR ADMISSION and I.R.

Date : 28-07-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM NATH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Mr. G. Balaji, AOR
Mr. L.V.Sri Nehaol, Adv.
Ms. Rohini Narayanan, Adv.

Mr. R. Anand Padmanabhan, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ambika Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Dr Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M.S.Krishnan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R. Jawahar Lal, Adv.
Mr. Tanmaya Agarwala, Adv.

Mr. T. Baalaji, Adv.
Mr. R. Ramesh, Adv.
Ms. Meghna Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Sayyam Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Aastha Sachdeva, Adv.
Mrs. Anumita Verma, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kshirsagar, AOR
Ms. Pavani Verma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgments(s) and order(s) passed by the High Court.

However, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that one of the suits is pending since 2016 and the other suit since 2021, we direct the Trial Court to expedite the hearing of the two suits and make an endeavour to decide the same as early as possible.

It goes without saying that both sides shall extend all cooperation in the disposal of the suits.

It would be open for the petitioner(s) to pray for such relief as may be permissible in law including rendition of accounts before the Trial Court.

The parties would be bound by the observations made in the operative part of the impugned order in paragraph 27(iii).

It is also provided that during the pendency of the suit, the respondents may utilize the building

constructed by them but shall not alienate the same.

The petitions stand disposed of with the
aforesaid observations.

Pending application(s) shall also stand disposed
of.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(RANJANA SHAILEY)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR