

ITEM NOS.20+21

COURT NO.13

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 22857/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 18-06-2026 in WP No. 5478/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad]

SEVA SAHAKARI SOCIETY LTD &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RAJENDRA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 189799/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 189801/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 189800/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL.DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

WITH

SLP (C) No(s). 22717/2026

IA No. 189126/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 189129/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 189127/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL.DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 09-07-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.V. VISWANATHAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE  
(PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH)

For Parties

Mr. Ajit Pravin Wagh, AOR  
Mr. Shahaji B. Ghatol (patil), Adv.  
Ms. Nivedita Kushwaha, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR  
Mr. B Dhananjay, Adv.

Mr. S.B.Ghatole, Adv.(through VC)\*

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

1. Heard Mr. Ajit Pravin Wagh, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and Mr.Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, learned counsel appearing on caveat for respondent no.1.

2. Issue notice.
3. Tag with SLP(C)No.22405/2026.
4. In the meantime, the effect and operation of the impugned order(s) dated 18-06-2026 in W.P. Nos. 5478/2026 and 5470/2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay at Aurangabad, shall remain stayed. The consequence will be that the order(s) dated 25.05.2026 of the Election Officer annexed as annexure P-7 & P-6 at page nos.130 & 194 of both the petitions respectively, shall continue to operate.
5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) points out that pursuant to the order of the High Court the nomination has now been rejected.
6. In view of the order(s) made by this Court, the concerned authorities shall look into the matter and act in accordance with the order(s) of this Court, which would mean that the nomination would be treated as accepted and the name(s) of the petitioner(s) would figure in the Ballot.

(NIRMALA NEGI)  
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS  
\*appearance not given

(MANOJ KUMAR)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)