

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D.No. 39863 OF 2026INSPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) No.18817 OF 2026

SAMIR KUMAR PRADHAN & ORS.

... PETITIONERS

Versus

BINODINI BHUE & ORS.

... RESPONDENTS

O R D E R

1. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is defective for the reasons stated in the Office Report. The defects have been notified to the counsel for the petitioners on 29.07.2026 but he has not cured the same so far.
3. However, instead of rejecting the Review Petition for non-prosecution, we have carefully gone through the contents of the same and the papers appended therewith. We are satisfied that no case for review of the order dated 22.05.2026, passed in SLP(C) No. 18817 of 2026, is made out.

The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(ATUL S. CHANDURKAR)

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 29, 2026.

ITEM NO.1009

SECTION XI-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL)Diary No(s).39863/2026 In SLP(C) No. 18817/2026

SAMIR KUMAR PRADHAN & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BINODINI BHUE & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 190219/2026 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN
OPEN COURT

Date : 29-09-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ATUL S. CHANDURKAR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
3. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C)D. No. 25168 OF 2024

IN

CIVIL APPEAL No. 6530 OF 2023

M/S DHARAMPAL PREMCHAND LTD.

... PETITIONER

Versus

COMMISSIONER, CENTRAL GOODS AND SERVICES TAX

... RESPONDENT

O R D E R

1. The application for listing the Review Petition in open Court is rejected.
2. The Review Petition is defective for the reasons stated in the Office Report. The defects were notified to counsel for the petitioner by way of Registry's letter dated 27.06.2024 and 29.07.2024 but he has not cured the same so far.
3. However, instead of rejecting the Review Petition for non-prosecution, we have carefully gone through the contents of the same and the papers appended therewith. We are satisfied that no case for review of the order dated 20.10.2023, passed in Civil Appeal No. 6521, is made out.
4. The Review Petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

.....2/-

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory application, if any, also stands disposed of.

.....CJI.
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(ARAVIND KUMAR)

NEW DELHI;
MAY 09, 2026.