

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. _____ OF 2026
[SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 28540 OF 2026]

REAN WATER TECH PVT. LTD

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M. P. JAL NIGAM MARYADIT & ANR.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The present appeal is filed against the order dated 24.07.2026 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur in Misc. Petition No. 4272 of 2026, by which the High Court has upheld the interim orders dated 03.06.2026 and 22.06.2026 passed by the Madhya Pradesh Arbitration Tribunal (The Tribunal) and dismissed the writ petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution.
3. The Tribunal by an order dated 03.06.2026, in view of statement made by the appellant that joint measurement of the work done by the appellant has not been carried out, directed that joint measurement of the work done by the appellant be carried out and the inventory of the material lying at the site be prepared. The Tribunal further directed that the respondent shall not commence the work through any

third agency till joint measurement is carried out and fixed the proceeding for 22.06.2026. The Tribunal on 22.06.2026 awaiting the joint measurement report adjourned the proceeding to 03.08.2026.

4. Ms. Meenakshi Arora, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellant, submitted that the Tribunal ought to have decided the application seeking interim directions which is pending before it.

5. On the other hand, Mr. Ravindra Srivastava, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondent(s), has pointed out that the application seeking interim relief is fixed for hearing on 23.09.2026 before the Tribunal. It is further submitted that during the pendency of this appeal, the respondents have already allotted the work to a third party. However, the area which was allotted to the appellant has been excluded.

6. The aforesaid submission made by learned senior counsel for the respondents is taken on record. The Tribunal shall hear the parties and shall dispose of the application seeking interim directions on 23.09.2026 itself.

7. In view of above, the civil appeal is disposed of.

8. However, the issues of this nature appear with troubling frequency. It is therefore necessary to examine the constitution and objectivity in the

functioning of the Tribunal constituted under the Madhya Pradesh Madhyastham Adhikaran Adhiniyam, 1983.

9. Let notice be issued to the State of Madhya Pradesh. A copy of the civil appeal and this order be also sent to the standing counsel for the State.

10. We request Mr. Ravindra Srivastava, learned senior counsel, to assist this Court as an Amicus Curiae. He has graciously accepted our request. List the matter for consideration of the above issues on 17.11.2026.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[ALOK ARADHE]

NEW DELHI;
SEPTEMBER 18, 2026.

ITEM NO.54

COURT NO.4

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 28540/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 24-07-2026 in MP No. 4272/2026 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Principal Seat at Jabalpur]

REAN WATER TECH PVT. LTD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M. P. JAL NIGAM MARYADIT & ANR.

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

IA No. 243213/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 18-09-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK ARADHE

For Petitioner(s) : Ms. Meenakshi Arora, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashi Shridhar, Adv.
Mr. Vikalp Mudgal, AOR
Ms. Radhika Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Kshitij Mudgal, Adv.
Mr. Ansh Mittal, Adv.
Mr. Amit Jay Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Ravindra Shrivatava, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Abhijeet Shrivastava, AOR
Ms. Shruti Verma, Adv.
Mr. Parashant Mishara, Adv.
Ms. Ananya Sahu, Adv.
Ms. Kavya Verma, Adv.
Mr. Atharv Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Shubhang P. Fransis, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The civil appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.
3. The operative portion of the order is reproduced as under :-

"7. In view of above, the civil appeal is disposed of.

8. However, the issues of this nature appear with troubling frequency. It is therefore necessary to examine the constitution and objectivity in the functioning of the Tribunal constituted under the Madhya Pradesh Madhyastham Adhikaran Adhiniyam, 1983.

9. Let notice be issued to the State of Madhya Pradesh. A copy of the civil appeal and this order be also sent to the standing counsel for the State.

10. We request Mr. Ravindra Srivastava, learned senior counsel, to assist this Court as an Amicus Curiae. He has graciously accepted our request. List the matter for consideration of the above issues on 17.11.2026."

4. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)