

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.9

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 58439/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 09-04-2024 in MA No. 87/2016 03-09-2026 in REVP No. 257/2024 passed by the High Court of Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur]

ABHISHEK STEEL INDUSTRIES PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

EMPLOYEES STATE INSURANCE CORPORATAION & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 298698/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 298697/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 298701/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 07-10-2026 This matter was called for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Shoeb Alam, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nitin Gaur, Adv.
Mr. Anshul Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anshuman Ashok- 2363, AoR

For Respondent(s) :

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Shoeb Alam, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.

2. Having considered the matter in its entirety, we find that the

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
SACHIN KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
Date: 2026.10.07
17:58:11 IST
Reason:

Present Special Leave Petition is not maintainable, as it arises from an order passed in review proceedings pursuant to a remand by this Court, and no liberty was granted to move this Court again

against the first impugned order dated 09.04.2024 passed by the High Court.

3. Even otherwise, having considered the matter, we do not find any error in the impugned order dated 03.09.2026 warranting interference by this Court.

4. However, learned Senior Counsel points out that an amnesty scheme is in vogue, which requires the proceedings to be pending, and that, with the conclusion of the present proceedings, the right even to apply under the scheme may be foreclosed.

5. Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that he has already applied, but has the apprehension that it may not be considered on account of the conclusion of these proceedings.

6. Having regard to the aforesaid, we make it clear that the scheme under which the petitioner has applied shall be considered notionally as on the date on which it was filed, with regard to the facts existing as on that date, and the present dismissal will not have any adverse effect on the said proceedings.

7. Accordingly, the Special Leave Petition stands dismissed.

8. Pending applications, if any, including application for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

(SACHIN KUMAR SRIVASTAVA)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(ANJALI PANWAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR