

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. _____/2026
[ARISING OUT OF CIVIL APPEAL DIARY NO.31140/2026]

VEDIC REALTY PRIVATE LIMITED

APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

SHUBHAM CAPITAL PRIVATE LIMITED

RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. After having heard learned counsel for the appellant, we do not find a good reason to take a different view than what has been taken by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT). Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.
(MANOJ MISRA)

.....J.
(SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR)

NEW DELHI;
JULY 07, 2026

ITEM NO.6

COURT NO.12

SECTION XVII-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL DIARY NO(S). 31140/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 19-03-2026 in CAAT(I) No. 2068/2024 passed by the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal]

VEDIC REALTY PRIVATE LIMITED

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SHUBHAM CAPITAL PRIVATE LIMITED

Respondent(s)

IA No. 179822/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING

IA No. 179821/2026 - STAY APPLICATION

Date : 07-07-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

[PARTIAL COURT WORKING DAYS BENCH]

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Rishav Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Shambo Nandy, AOR
Mr. Anand Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Chandan Mohata, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Dhruv Mehta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Mitra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Arup Banerjee, AOR
Mr. Sidhartha Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rishav Dutt, Adv.
Mr. Aman Kataruka, Adv.
Ms. Nishi Sangtani, Adv.
Mr. Barnam Bharatdwaj, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. The appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(KAVITA PAHUJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)