GAHC010139442024

undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3478/2026

PURNIMA BARMAN AND 2 ORS
W/O- DIPU BARMAN @ DIPU KR. BARMAN

2: BIUTI @ BEAUTY BARMAN
D/O- DIPU BARMAN @ DIPU KR. BARMAN

3: DIPU BARMAN @ DIPU KR. BARMAN
S/O- LATE DR. KANAN CH. BARMAN
ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF VILL. SUNDARBARI
(NEAR LACHIT CHOWK)
P.O. AND P.S. JALUKBARI- 781014
DIST. KAMRUP (M) (ASSAM

VERSUS

ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO. LTD AND 3 ORS
REGIONAL OFFICE, GUWAHATI, G.S.ROAD, ULUBARI, P.O.- GUWAHATI-781007, DIST- KAMRUP (M) (ASSAM)

2:GITA DEB
W/O- SANJAY DEB
WARD NO. 13
P.O. SILCHAR TOWN- 788015
DIST.- CACHAR (ASSAM)

3:GANESH DEB
S/O- GOPENDRA CH. DEB
VILL- AMBIKAPUR
P.O.- MEHERPUR- 788015
P.S. SILCHAR
DIST.- CACHAR (ASSAM)

4:HDFC ERGO GENERAL INS. CO. LTD
MAYUR GARDENS (OPP. RAJIB BHAWAN) G.S.ROAD

P.O. GUWAHATI- 78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A R AGARWALA, MR ADITYA AGARWALA

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. A J SAIKIA(R4), M CHETIA(R4),MR A DAS(R4)

Linked Case :

PURNIMA BARMAN AND 2 ORS

VERSUS

ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO LTD AND 3 ORS B

Advocate for : MR. A R AGARWALA
Advocate for : appearing for ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO LTD AND 3 ORS B

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHIVJYOTI SAIKIA

ORDER

Date : 27.11.2026

Heard Mr.A. R. Agarwala, the learned counsel appearing for the applicants. Also heard Mr.A.J.Saikia, the learned Standing Counsel for the respondent No.4/HDFC ERGO General Insurance Company Ltd.

2. This is an application filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 praying for condonation of 35 days of delay in preferring the connected Appeal.

3. I have gone through the application and found that the delay has been satisfactorily explained.

4. This Court is of the opinion that going for issuance of notice upon the respondents

would be nothing, but a sheer waste of time. Therefore, the delay stands condoned.

5. The connected Appeal shall be registered and listed for admission hearing.

The name of Mr. A.J. Saikia as the Standing Counsel representing the respondent No.4.

The IA is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant