

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
INHERENT JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. _____ OF 2026
[Diary No(s). 64429 OF 2025]

IN

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (C) NO. 26245 OF 2024

THE UNION OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. SRISHAILA CONSTRUCTIONS
PRIVATE LIMITED

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Application seeking oral hearing of the review petition in open Court is rejected.

2. There is an enormous delay of 340 days in filing this Review Petition. The application for condonation of delay in filing the Review Petition does not give sufficient cause.

3. Even otherwise, having perused the review petition, we find that there is no error apparent on the face of the record. No case for review under Order XLVII Rule 1 of the Supreme Court Rules 2013 has been established.

4. In view of the above, the Review Petition is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any,
is/are disposed of.

.....J.
[PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA]

.....J.
[SANDEEP MEHTA]

NEW DELHI;
AUGUST 18, 2026.

ITEM NO.1001

SECTION XVI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 64429/2025 in SLP(C) No.
26245/2024

THE UNION OF INDIA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S. SRISHAILA CONSTRUCTIONS PRIVATE LIMITED

Respondent(s)

IA No. 287066/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING
IA No. 287063/2025 - ORAL HEARING

Date : 18-08-2026 This matter was circulated today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MEHTA

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.
2. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, is/are disposed of.

(JAYANT KUMAR ARORA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIDHI WASON)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)