



2026-UHC:9755

Sl. No.	Date	Office Note, report, order or proceeding as directed and signed by the Judge or Registrar	COURT FOR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			<u>WPMS/3291/2026</u> M/s Umed Singh Adhikari --Petitioner Versus State Of Uttarakhand & others --Respondents <u>Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J.</u> Mr. Naitik Bhatt, learned Counsel for petitioner. 2. Mr. Yogesh Pande, learned Dy.A.G. for the State. 3. Petitioner, who is a Contractor by profession, was awarded two contracts in the year 2024 and 2025 for reconstruction of damaged wall situated at 132 Kms (8-10) on the NH 87 Ext. (New NH-109) at Village Malli Mirai, Dwarahat, Tehsil Dwarahat, District Almora. The said work was completed by the petitioner within time. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has not been paid a single penny for the work done by him and accordingly a sum of Rs.4,92,940.82 and Rs.4,98,795.92 is pending with the respondent-Department since the year 2024 and 2025 respectively. 5. The petitioner submitted in the writ petition that dues payable to the petitioner are admitted by the respondent-Department. 6. This Court vide order dated 27.10.2026 had directed the State counsel to get instructions as to whether the dues claimed by petitioner are admitted to them or not. 7. Today, instructions have been produced before this Court by learned State Counsel which are taken on record. 8. In paragraph no. 2 of the said instructions, it has been stated that the dues are admitted to the extent of



		Rs.4,72,292/- and Rs.4,89,889/- total Rs.9,62,181/-. The reason for non-payment is cited as non-availability of the budget, and it is further stated that payment will be made to the petitioner as and when the budget is received. 9. Having considered the rival submission of the parties and having gone through the instructions carefully, this Court is of the view that once the respondent-department contends that the dues are admitted to them which the petitioner is entitled to get, therefore, withholding such dues would create unnecessary financial loss to the petitioner, who is a Contractor. 10. In such view of the matter the writ petition stands allowed. The respondent no.1 is directed to make payment of the admitted dues i.e., Rs.4,72,292/- and Rs.4,89,889/- total Rs.9,62,181/- to the petitioner within a period of four months from the date of production of certified copy of this order. (Pankaj Purohit, J.) 28.10.2026 <i>RDang</i>
--	--	---