

DIVISION BENCH

ITEM NO.5

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL

ALLAHABAD BENCH

PRAYAGRAJ

IA No.332/2026 IN CP (IB) No.27/ALD/2025

CORAM:

- 1. SH. PRAVEEN GUPTA,
HON'BLE MEMBER (JUDICIAL)**
- 2. SH. ASHISH VERMA,
HON'BLE MEMBER (TECHNICAL)**

Date of Order: 21st May, 2026

Attendance-Cum-Order Sheet of the Hearing.

NAME OF THE COMPANY	Q-MAX SUPPLY CHAIN CO. LTD. V/S HOLITECH INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED
UNDER SECTION	9 IBC

COUNSEL APPEARED THROUGH PHYSICAL/ VIRTUAL HEARING:

Sh. Ishaan Chhaya, Adv.

*: For the Operational Creditor
& Res. in IA No.332/2026*

Sh. Srijan Mehrotra with Sh. Vikram Kalra
& Ms. Phalguni Bhalla, Adv.

*: For the Corporate Debtor
& Applicant in IA No.332/26*

ORDER

- 1.** Ld. Counsel representing the Operational Creditor states that the reply on behalf of the Corporate Debtor has still not been filed. He further submits that instead an IA No.332/2026 has been filed, which is also listed today in the cause list along with the main petition.
- 2.** The memo of parties as per the physical copy supplied, as also filed on the e-portal of this Tribunal, reflects the 'Operational Creditor' as the 'Applicant', however the said application is admittedly filed by the 'Corporate Debtor' in the main petition. Further, it is also observed that in the DMS portal, the title of the application is also not even matching what it ought to have been as per the requirement.
- 3.** Faced with this, the Ld. Counsel representing the Applicant/ Corporate Debtor seeks time to amend the memo of parties and also to make correct entries on the DMS portal correctly reflecting the memo of parties of the present application.

-Sd-

-Sd-

4. Ld. Counsel representing the non-applicant/ respondent/ operational creditor also states that since an advance copy of the said application was supplied to him, the reply/ response in any case has also been supplied to the other side, however the same could not be uploaded on the e-portal of this Tribunal for the reasons as stated above.
5. Be that as it may, once the corrections have been made by the Applicant, the said reply to be filed by the non-applicant/ respondent in IA No.332/2026 would also be uploaded on the e-portal of this Tribunal, and thereafter the rejoinder if any be also filed by the Applicant/ Corporate Debtor within another period of two weeks with an advance copy to be supplied to the opposite side.
6. After the correction of the memo of parties, the Registry is also directed to correctly reflect the memo of parties in the present application, as in the cause list the application is depicted as having been filed by the Operational Creditor.
7. The pleadings in the main petition have already been completed, however it is brought to our notice that though the reply on behalf of the Corporate Debtor has been filed, but the hard copy of the same is not on record.
8. Let the needful be done so that the hard copy of the reply filed by the Corporate Debtor also comes up on record.
9. Let the matter be adjourned for final hearing on 7th July, 2026.

-Sd-
(Ashish Verma)
Member (Technical)

-Sd-
(Praveen Gupta)
Member (Judicial)

21st May, 2026

Kavya Prakash Srivastava
(Stenographer)