20th Nov., 2026

Item no.D/L 05
Court No. 24

Pradip, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No. **WPA 32357 of 2026**

In the matter of:

Ramakrishna Vedanta Mission Teachers Academy & Ors.

.... Petitioners

VS.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

....Respondents

For the Petitioners:

Mr. Firdous Samim
Mr. Gopa Biswas
Mr. Hasanuz Zaman Molla
Mr. Rajosik Dutta
Mr. Ayush Majumdar
Ms. Salini Bhattacharyya
Mr. Injamamul Islam

....Advocates

For the State:

Mr. Souvik Nandy, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Amrita Pandey

....Advocates

For the NCTE:

Ms. Asha G. Gutgutia

....Advocate

For the Respondent nos.4&5:

Mr. Billwadal Bhattacharyya, Ld. AAG
Mr. Parashar Baidya

....Advocates

1. Affidavit of service filed in Court today is taken on record.

2. The instant writ petition has been filed by 44 B.Ed Teachers' Training colleges claiming to be aggrieved by the list published by Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University disallowing renewal of their affiliation for the academic session 2026-28 and debarring them from taking admission of students for the current academic session 2026-28.

3. They all claim that they were issued show cause notice pointing out their deficiencies. The further claim that they have responded to the

show cause notice but no decision has yet been taken by the University.

4. The University has published the notice of admission of students. As the petitioners have been found to be ineligible for renewal of their affiliation, they are not in a position to take admission.

5. Submission of the petitioners is that their affiliation ought to be renewed and they ought to be permitted to take admission of students as no decision has been taken by the University in response to the show cause notice.

6. Prayer has been made to permit the Institutes to take admission in the current academic session upon renewal of affiliation.

7. Learned senior counsel representing the University has raised objection with regard to the maintainability of the writ petition at the instance of 44 B.Ed Institutes.

8. It has been submitted that the Institutes ought not to join together to file the instant writ petition. The cause of action of the Institutes is different. The writ petition ought to be restricted only to the first Institute and ought to be dismissed for the rest.

9. A similar issue was taken up for consideration by the Court on 13th November, 2026 in WPA No.31691 of 2026 (Gimageria Welfare Primary Teachers' Training Institute v. State of West Bengal & Ors.). It appears that the petitioners in the present writ petition claims to be similarly aggrieved as the petitioners in WPA No.31691 of 2026.

10. As the instant writ petition has been filed by 44 Institutes, it is not possible for the Court to check the deficiencies pointed out by the University in respect of each and every Institute.

11. As the University detected similar deficiencies in all the enlisted Institutes and more particularly because the University has found

that the Institutes do not have proper infrastructural facilities to impart education to the students, accordingly, the prayer of the petitioners for permitting them to take admission of students in the current academic session cannot be allowed.

12. Till the deficiencies are rectified and the University is satisfied with regard to the infrastructural facilities of the Institute for imparting education to students, the affiliation of the college ought not to be renewed.

13. As the petitioners contend that they have already responded to the show cause notice dealing with the deficiencies pointed out, accordingly, similar directions as passed in WPA 31691 of 2026 in the matter of Gimageria Welfare Primary Teachers' Training Institute v. State of West Bengal & Ors. (supra) are passed herein.

14. The competent authority of the University is directed to take a decision on the response given by the Institutes upon giving them reasonable opportunity of hearing to place documents in support of their stand.

15. Only if the University is satisfied that the Institute would be in a proper position to impart education to the students, the University may take a decision to renew the affiliation of the Institute.

16. A decision shall be taken by the competent authority of the University in accordance with law at the earliest but positively within a fortnight from the date of communication of this order.

17. If the University is satisfied with the infrastructural facility of the Institute for functioning as B.Ed college, then the University may take a decision to extend the time period for taking admission in the current academic session.

18. It is made clear that as the matter relates to education of students, accordingly, for the time being, the Court is not deciding the issue

as to whether all the above Institutes could have joined together as petitioners for filing the present writ petition ventilating their individual grievances.

19. The writ petition stand disposed of.
20. The receipt showing payment of deficit court fees filed in Court today be retained with the records.
21. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.
22. Certified server copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)