

NATIONAL COMPANY LAW APPELLATE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Restoration Application (AT) No. 12 of 2026

In

Comp. App. (AT) No. 202 of 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sudha Commercial Company Ltd.

...Appellants

Versus

Tirupati Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellants : Mr. Nitin Setia, Advocate.

For Respondents : Mr. Nikhil Chawla, Ms. Bhavi M., Advocates.

With

Restoration Application (AT) No. 13 of 2026

In

Comp. App. (AT) No. 100 of 2024

IN THE MATTER OF:

Sudha Commercial Company Ltd.

...Appellants

Versus

Tirupati Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.

...Respondents

Present:

For Appellants : Mr. Nitin Setia, Advocate.

For Respondents : Mr. Nikhil Chawla, Ms. Bhavi M., Advocates.

O R D E R
(Hybrid Mode)

21.08.2026: In these two company appeals, they are listed for orders on the respective Restoration Applications, being *Restoration Application (AT) No. 12 of 2026 in Comp. App. (AT) No. 202 of 2024 and Restoration Application (AT) No. 13 of 2026 in Comp. App. (AT) No. 100 of 2024.*

2. The contents of the application, as well as the supporting affidavits, are being vehemently opposed by the Respondent's Counsel on the ground that the manner in which the pleadings have been raised, coupled with the verification clause of the contents of the affidavit, they do not support the contents of the application itself and, more particularly, when the deponent who has sworn the affidavit could not verify the fact, based on knowledge, as it has been depicted in the verification clause. Owing to the aforesaid facts, the Restoration Applications are permitted to be dismissed as withdrawn, with a liberty to file afresh.

Justice Sharad Kumar Sharma
Member (Judicial)

Arun Baroka
Member (Technical)

Indevar Pandey
Member (Technical)

MT/RR