

ITEM NO.23

COURT NO.13

SECTION IX-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 38304/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 02-12-2024 in WP No. 12378/2019 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay]

DEENDAYAL EDUCATION TRUST

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 62992/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 321329/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 81740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 04-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASHANT KUMAR MISHRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For Petitioner(s) :

Mr. G. Arudhra Rao Arguing Counsel, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Narveer Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Yash Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Aniruddha Purushotham, AOR

Mr. Kaustub Narendran, Adv.

Mr. Rohan Andrew Naik, Adv.

Ms. Saubhagya Sundriyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

Mr. Kunal Cheema, AOR

Mr. Shubham Chandankhede, Adv.

Mr. Raghav Deshpande, Adv.

Mr. Rushab Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Samrat Krishnarao Shinde, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. While issuing notice to the respondents on 18.12.2025, this Court recorded the following;

"1. Delay condoned.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for a limited notice to explore the possibility of settlement qua the emoluments payable to the respondent No.4 consequent upon his reinstatement.

3. Issue notice limited to the issue as above.

4. Mr. Kunal Cheema, learned Advocate-on-Record appearing on behalf of the respondent No. 4 and accepts notice. Hence, formal service of notice upon the said respondent is waived.

5. Let counter affidavit be filed by the next date of hearing.

6. List the matter on 04.02.2026."

2. Since after the above order was passed by this Court on 18.12.2025, there is no settlement between the parties and from the arguments raised at the Bar today, it does not appear that the parties intend to enter into a settlement qua the emoluments.

3. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to keep this Special Leave Petition pending and the same stands dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)
COURT MASTER(SH)

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)
COURT MASTER(NSH)