



CGHC010415202026



2026:CGHC:44605

RUCHI
YADAV

Digitally signed
by RUCHI YADAV

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPC No. 5327 of 2026

1 - Dilawar Yadav S/o Makkar Yadav Aged About 45 Years Residence Of Street 32, Behind Hanuman Mandir, Sector - 1, Zone - 2, Khursipar, Bhilai, District - Durg (C.G.), Through His Wife Neeraj Yadav W/o Dilawar Yadav, Aged About 42 Years, Residence Of Street 32, Behind Hanuman Mandir, Sector - 1, Zone - 2, Khursipar, Bhilai, District - Durg (C.G.)

... Petitioner(s)

versus

1 - Bhilai Steel Plant Through C E O, Bhilai, District - Durg, Chhattisgarh

2 - Steel Authority Of India Ltd. Through Deputy General Manager (Enforcement), Bhilai Steel Plant, Steel Authority Of India Ltd. Bhilai, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

3 - Deputy General Manager (Enforcement) Bhilai Steel Plant, Steel Authority Of India Ltd. Bhilai, District - Durg, Chhattisgarh.

4 - The Estate Officer Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhiali Nagar, District Durg, Chhattisgarh.

5 - State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Urban Administration And Development Department Chhattisgarh, Indravati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District - Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

6 - Collector Durg District - Durg (C.G.)

... Respondent(s)

(Cause title taken from CIS Periphery)

For Petitioner(s)	:	Mr. Aman Tamrakar, Advocate
For Respondents No. 1 to 4	:	Mr. Pankaj Singh, Advocate appears on advance copy
For Respondent/State	:	Mr. Anill S. pandey, G.A. appears on advance copy

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Amitendra Kishore Prasad

Order on Board

15/10/2026

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner has prayed for following reliefs:-

“10.1 That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash the impugned notice dated 08.10.2026, if found to be contrary to law, without jurisdiction, procedurally unlawful or vitiated by an impermissible punitive or retaliatory purpose.

10.2 That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to command and direct the respondent authorities to calling for the records of Case No. 261/2026 and examining the legality of the notice dated 08.10.2026 issued by Respondent No.4.

10.3 That this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to command and direct the respondent authorities to reconsider the matter independently, after supplying the relevant records and affording the petitioner a reasonable opportunity of hearing, and to pass a reasoned order in accordance with law.

10.4 Any other relief(s)/order(s)/direction(s) in favor of petitioner, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in

the facts and circumstances of the case, in the interest of justice.

10.5 Cost of the petition.”

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that a notice dated 08.10.2026 has been issued to the petitioner, directing him to remove the alleged encroachment by 11:00 a.m. on 15.10.2026, failing which the encroachment allegedly made by him would be removed by the authorities. Aggrieved by the said notice, the present petition has been filed.
3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 4 submits that the matter pertains to Section 5-A(2) of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971. He submits that, so far as the date fixed in the notice is concerned, the respondents are willing to extend the same by a period of 15 days. He further submits that the petitioner may approach the concerned authority along with all relevant documents, whereupon the authority shall consider the same and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.
4. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material available on record.
5. Considering the aforesaid submissions, the present petition is **disposed of** at this stage with a direction to the respondent authorities not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner pursuant to the notice dated 08.10.2026 for a period of 15 days from today.
6. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to approach the concerned authority within the aforesaid period of 15 days along with all relevant

documents and raise all his objections/claims before the said authority.

The concerned authority shall consider the same and pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

Sd/-

(Amitendra Kishore Prasad)

Judge

Ruchi