

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40549 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-37 Year-2026 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

=====
Pintu @ Pintu Kumar S/o Late Babulal Yadav Resident of Village - Sakaddi,
P.S. - Koilwar, District - Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====
Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prabhat Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, A.P.P.

=====
CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 02-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
127(2), 308(3), 308(5) and 3(5) of BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of six cases and the informant alleges
that on 17.02.2026, at about 05:00 p.m., the petitioner along
with Vishal waylaid him along with the truck impersonating
themselves as recovery agent of Tata Capital Limited and
destroyed the GPS of the truck so that the truck is not tracked
and took away the truck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case since he
works as a recovery agent for Kotak Mahindra Bank, as such,



his presence at the alleged place of occurrence has been given a criminal colour. It is further submitted that there was absolutely no dishonest intention to commit theft, loot or abduction and the entire dispute arises on account of re-possession of the vehicle for non-payment of the loan. It is next submitted that it is impossible to cut the GPS as the GPS is designed to send instant alert to the owner for monitoring service before they are fully disabled.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that petitioner has antecedent of six serious cases and the informant alleges that petitioner along with Vishal portrayed as recovery agent of Tata Capital Limited and thereafter took away the truck along with them after disabling the GPS. It is further submitted that though petitioner has pleaded in the anticipatory bail application that he has been implicated being recovery agent of Kotak Mahindra Bank but then the anticipatory bail application is completely silent on the fact that where the truck is. It is next submitted that investigation of the case is continuing and if petitioner is innocent, the police will exonerate him of the allegation.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Koilwar P.S. Case No. 37 of 2026 pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

