SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPMS/3589/2026 Sangeeta --Petitioner **Versus** State Of Uttarakhand --Respondent **Hon'blePankaj Purohit,J. (through V.C.) at 02:30 p.m** Mr. Suryakant Maithani and Mr. Kirti Sharan Agarwal learned counsel holding brief of Mr. Devang Dobhal, learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Mr. Sushil Vashistha, learned Standing Counsel for the State/respondent nos. 1 and 2. 3. Mr. Lalit Sharma, learned counsel for respondent no. 3-Nagar Nigam, Rudrapur. 4. Mr. N.S. Pundir, learned counsel for respondent no.4-UPCL. 5. The matter is taken up at 2:30 PM. Pursuant to the order passed by this Court in the previous session, the District Magistrate Mr. Nitin Bhadauria along with S.S.P. Mr. Ajay Ganpati are present before this Court through V.C. 6. It is apprised by the S.S.P. in

			particular that the house-in-question was sealed by the police in order to control the law and order situation. He further explained that since the FIR was lodged against four members of the family of petitioner in connection with a murder in District Hospital within Police Station Pant Nagar, and the part of the incident was happened in the house and further the CCTV camera was installed and the DVD was inside the house, the house was sealed in order to save and collect the evidence. 7. In view of the statement made by the S.S.P. Udham Singh Nagar, the statement made by Mr. Randeep, Assistant Municipal Commissioner is correct that Nagar Nigam has not sealed the premises. 8. Be that as it may, the proceeding cannot be conducted in a manner which is in violation of law and mandate of the Constitution of India. In order to save the evidence, instead of sealing the house, the DVD could have been procured by the police and the place may be barricaded where the evidence, if any, was there but the family cannot be ousted from the house without making alternate

arrangement.

9. In such view of the matter, the respondent no.3 is directed to put the family back in the house respectfully within 24 hours and respondent no.4 is directed to restore the electricity connection in the said house within 24 hours.

10. The presence of the officers present before this Court through V.C. and physically is accordingly exempted.

11. Since the notice impugned has already provided for a period of fifteen days to submit the reply, today it is 25.11.2026, ten more days are granted to the petitioner to submit the reply on or before 05.12.2026. The petitioner submit a reply, the same may be considered in accordance with law by the respondents.

10. Accordingly, the writ petition is finally disposed of.

(Pankaj Purohit, J.)
25.11.2026

Nahid
