

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).16923-16974/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4870/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18570/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 31260/2025 10-07-2026 in CRLMB No. 338/2026 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4871/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18573/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4872/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18576/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4882/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18614/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4873/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18579/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4883/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18617/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 799/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18632/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18635/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4876/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18588/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4878/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18602/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4886/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18630/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 798/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18625/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18628/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 11121/2025 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4879/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18605/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4880/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18608/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4881/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18611/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4884/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18620/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMC No. 4885/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18623/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 797/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18593/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18596/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 800/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18636/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18639/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 801/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18640/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18643/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 802/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18644/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18647/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLREVP No. 803/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18648/2024 10-07-2026 in CRLMA No. 18651/2024 passed by the High Court of Delhi at New Delhi]

RAJPAL NAURANG YADAV & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S MURLI PROJECTS PVT. LTD. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 271901/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

Signature : 15-10-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

Digitally signed by
ARJUN BISPLA
Date: 2026.10.21
15:56:05 IST
Reason:

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Trivedi- 1745, AOR
Mr. Bhaskar Upadhyaya, Adv.
Mr. Nishant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ishan Yadav, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Manish Raghav- 3255, AOR
Mr. S. K. Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Avneet Singh Sikka, Adv.
Mr. Prakash Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Rajan Thakur, Adv.
Mr. Shubhmeet Singh, Adv.
Ms. Sanskriti Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Ankita Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In continuation of our orders dated 08.09.2026 and 15.09.2026, as subsequently modified by the order dated 07.10.2026, the petitioners have deposited a sum of Rs.1,15,00,000/- (Rupees one crore fifteen lakhs) by way of demand drafts, the details of which are set out in paragraph 1 of the order dated 07.10.2026. The said order further records that the prospective buyer of the petitioners' ancestral property shall deposit an additional sum of Rs.85,00,000/- (Rupees eighty-five lakhs) with the Registry on 13.10.2026.
2. As per the office report, in addition to the deposit of a sum of Rs.1,15,00,000/-, the petitioners have deposited a sum of Rs.85,00,000/- with the Registry on 13.10.2026. As such, a total of Rs.2 crores has been deposited by the petitioners with the Registry.
3. Owing to the issues briefly raised on behalf of the parties, it seems to us that the matter can be amicably resolved through mediation.
4. Having regard to the complexity of the issues, we appoint

Justice Najmi Waziri, Former Judge of the Delhi High Court, as the sole mediator to resolve the dispute between the parties. The parties are directed to contact the learned mediator on his mobile number +91 98100 97311 and fix the date for appearance.

5. The mediator shall be at liberty to ask the parties to appear in person or online.

6. The learned Mediator shall fix his own fee in consultation with the parties.

7. With a view to enable the Petitioner No. 1 (Rajpal Naurang Yadav) to continue with his professional pursuits, the Trial Court is directed to release his passport, subject to such terms and conditions as may be imposed by the Trial Court. The Petitioner No.1 will travel abroad only after compliance with those terms and conditions, and on return, shall inform the Trial Court.

7. Post the matter immediately after the mediation report is received.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR