



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No. 22806 of 2026

CNR No. ODHC010537472026

Mercedes-Benz Financial Services India Pvt. Ltd., Chennai ***Petitioner***

Mr. Ramachandra Panigrahy, Advocate

-versus-

Chief Judicial Magistrate, Keonjhar ***Opposite Parties***

Mr. Umesh Chandra Behura,
Additional Government Advocate
(For Opp. Party No.1)

Mr. Yashobanta Das, Senior Advocate
(For Intervenors)

CORAM:

JUSTICE MR KRUSHNA RAM MOHAPATRA

JUSTICE MR MRUGANKA SEKHAR SAHOO

ORDER

14.08.2026

Order No.

2. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid mode.
2. 2. Appearance memo of Mr. Yashobanta Das, learned Senior Advocate on behalf of the Intervenors filed in Court is taken on record.
3. 3. The Petitioner in this writ petition prays for the following relief;

“In the facts and circumstances of the case as mentioned above this Hon’ble Court may be graciously pleased to pass the following directions;

- a. *Issue the Writ of Mandamus or any other Writ against the Opposite Party, directing the learned Magistrate to pass an appropriate order on the application being Misc. Case No.373 of 2025, filed by the Petitioner under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act, 2002 within 15 days;*



b. Pass such other writ/writs, order/orders and direction/directions that may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of this case; And for which act of your kindness the petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.”

4. Mr. Panigrahy, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that in the meantime, Misc. Case No.373 of 2025 filed under Section 14 of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for brevity ‘the SARFAESI Act’) has already been heard and the judgment is reserved. Hence, he submits that the writ petition has become infructuous.

5. Mr. Das, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the Intervenors submits that since the application under Section 14 of the SARFAESI Act is disposed of, the grievance of the Petitioner in this writ petition does not survive.

6. In view the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

Urgent certified copy of this order be granted on proper application.

(K.R. Mohapatra)
Judge

(Mruganka Sekhar Sahoo)
Judge