

ITEM NO.22

COURT NO.8

SECTION XII-A

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 19471/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 29-12-2025 in RIA No. 1/2023 20-03-2023 in WP(C) No. 3493/2010 passed by the High Court for The State of Telangana at Hyderabad]

STATE BANK OF INDIA**Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****MS SEM INDIA FAB PVT LTD CHAIRMAN MANAGING
DIRECTOR & ORS.****Respondent(s)****IA No. 126229/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING****IA No. 126224/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT****Date : 08-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA**

**For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Rajiv Shakhder, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kapur, AOR
Mr. Surya Prakash, Adv.
Ms. Shubhra Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Mahima Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Santha Smruthi, Adv.**

**For Respondent(s) : Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR
Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Riddhi Bose, Adv.
Ms. Racheeta Chawla, Adv.
Ms. Rishi Agarwal, Adv.
Ms. Sampriiti Baksi, Adv.
Mr. P. Venkatraju, Adv.
Ms. G. Sushmitha, Adv.
Ms. M. Harshini, Adv.**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

1. Delay condoned.
2. We are not inclined to interfere with the impugned judgment(s) and

order(s) of the High Court; hence, the special leave petitions are dismissed.

3. However, it is clarified that nothing observed in the impugned orders dismissing the writ petition of the respondent no.1 and the petitioner's impleadment application as well as the petitioner's review petition shall preclude the petitioner from pursuing its remedy in accordance with law.

4. No opinion is expressed on merits.

5. Pending interlocutory application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JATINDER KAUR)
P.S. to REGISTRAR

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)