



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.6622 of 2026

Dandapani Naik & Anr. ***Petitioners***

Mr. Janaki Kanta Mahapatra, Advocate

-versus-

***Authorized Officer-cum-Regional
Manager, Canara Bank & Anr.*** ***Opp. Parties***

Mr. Arupananda Das, Advocate

CORAM:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHITTARANJAN DASH

Order No.

ORDER
08.07.2026

- 01.**
1. Heard learned counsel for both the Parties.
 2. The innocuous prayer in this Application is with regard to the consideration of his application and for its disposal within a stipulated time.
 3. The Petitioner, who is a borrower availing a fishery firming loan for the amount of ₹9,70,000/- (Rupees Nine Lakh Seventy Thousand only) in the year 2017, claims to have defaulted in payment and has made a representation before the Opposite Party No.2, i.e., the Branch Manager, Canara Bank, Nuagaon Branch, Ganjam, on 07.01.2026, seeking a one-time settlement.
 4. Mr. Mahapatra, learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Bank has not disposed of his representation and sitting tight over the matter.
 5. Keeping in view the aforesaid submission of Mr. Mahapatra, learned counsel for the Petitioner, and the fact that



Petitioner has deposited a sum of ₹12,00,000/- (Rupees Twelve Lakh only) and that there was an outstanding amount against his loan account. His representation be considered in accordance with the extant rule of the Bank within a period of thirty (30) days and the communication be made to the Petitioner within one (1) week thereafter.

6. Since this Court is simply passing a direction to the Bank for consideration of the representation of the Petitioner and is not disposing the writ Petition on merit, the notice to the Opposite Party-Bank is dispensed with.

7. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the stage of admission.

8. A copy of this order be sent to the Opposite Party-Bank for compliance as directed above.

(Chittaranjan Dash)
Judge

Priyanka